UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,281	10/31/2003	Kazuo Okada	SHO-0055	8441	
	7590 01/14/201 IAN & GRAUER PL I		EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING			PINHEIRO, JASON PAUL		
WASHINGTO	REET N.W., SUITE 50 N, DC 20036	I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3717		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/14/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,281	OKADA, KAZUO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Pinheiro	3717	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO rute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The substitution of the process of	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	•	s
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5,7,10,16 and 38-41 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5, 7, 10, 16 and 38-41 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in viriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	A) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/697,281 Page 2

Art Unit: 3717

DETAILED ACTION

1. After the amendments filed 12/02/2010, claims 5, 10 and 16 were amended. Therefore, claims 5, 7, 10, 16 and 38-41 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 10, 16 & 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uchida (US 6,343,161) and Ahuja (US 6,157,529) in view of Bowron (US 7,374,258). The previous rejection is maintained and incorporated herein. With respect to the subject matter regarding an "electrically grounded gaming machine" and "wherein the image control device is built in and connected to an upper portion of the electronically grounded game machine" the examiner would like to point out that inherently all gaming machines are electrically grounded. First, game machines electronic components are grounded in order to work. Secondly the gaming chassis is grounded in order to prevent tampering and for protection of the person using the game machine. With respect to the location and placement of the image control device please see Bowron figure 2 part number 34 and 62 which are the computer and the power supply which are built in the upper portion of a gaming cabinet, and as can be seen in figure 2, the upper portion is geometrically apart from a lower portion of the gaming machine (see

Application/Control Number: 10/697,281

Art Unit: 3717

reference characters 50 and 60 as components which are built in to a lower portion, which is geometrically apart from the upper portion). Further, Bowron discloses a keyboard as part of the lower portion (see figure 2, reference character 50) which would be inherently exposed to an influence of static electricity when contacted by a player, therefore the lower portion would be exposed to the influence of static electricity caused by a physical contact by a player. While many different there exists many different hardware and cabinet construction in the art one would be motivated to employ this type of hardware architecture just as well as any other hardware architecture in a gaming machine. Therefore it would be obvious to combine the above reference in order to anticipate the claimed invention.

Page 3

Claims 7 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uchida (US 6,343,161) and Ahuja (US 6,157,529) in view of Bowron (US 7,374,258) as applied to the claims above in further view of Loose et al (US 6,517,433). The previous rejection with respect to Uchida, Ahuja and Loose are maintained and incorporated herein. Bowron is applied to the rejection as explained above.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Regarding applicant's argument that Uchida, Ahuja, and Bowron do not disclose that "the upper portion is geometrically apart from a lower portion of the electrically-grounded gaming machine": The Examiner must respectfully disagree. Bowron

Art Unit: 3717

discloses a lower portion which is geometrically apart from the upper portion of the gaming machine (see reference characters 34 and 62 as components built into the upper portion, and see reference characters 50 and 60 as components which are built in to the lower portion, which is geometrically apart from the upper portion).

5. Regarding applicant's argument that Uchida, Ahuja, and Bowron do not disclose that "the lower portion is exposed to an influence of static electricity caused by a physical contact by a player": The Examiner must respectfully disagree. Bowron discloses a keyboard as part of the lower portion (see figure 2, reference character 50) which would be inherently exposed to an influence of static electricity when contacted by a player, therefore since the keyboard is part of the lower portion, the lower portion would be exposed to the influence of static electricity caused by a physical contact by a player.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Pinheiro whose telephone number is (571) 270-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 7-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Melba Bumgarner can be reached on 571-272-4709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,281 Page 5

Art Unit: 3717

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melba Bumgarner/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3717

/J. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 3717